# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

**CHRISTINA PAOLI** 

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION No. 07-419

v.

CITY OF LEWES, DELAWARE, LEWES POLICE DEPARTMENT, and BRUCE RITTER,

Defendants.

# DEFENDANTS, CITY OF LEWES, LEWES POLICE DEPARTMENT AND BRUCE RITTER'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT WITH SEPARATE DEFENSES

Defendants, City of Lewes, Lewes Police Department and Bruce Ritter, by and through their counsel, Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin, hereby respond to Plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

- 1. Inasmuch as this allegation within Plaintiff's Complaint constitutes a legal conclusion as opposed to factual allegations, no response thereto is necessary.
- 2. Inasmuch as this allegation within Plaintiff's Complaint constitutes a legal conclusion as opposed to factual allegations, no response thereto is necessary.
- 3. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendants are unable to admit or deny the allegations within this paragraph of Plaintiff's Complaint and must therefore deny same, demanding strict proof thereof at the time of trial.
  - 4. Admitted.
  - 5. Admitted.

- 6. (Omitted from Plaintiff's Complaint).
- 7. Admitted.
- 8. Inasmuch as this allegation within Plaintiff's Complaint constitutes a legal conclusion as opposed to factual allegations, no response thereto is necessary.
- 9. Inasmuch as this allegation within Plaintiff's Complaint constitutes a legal conclusion as opposed to a factual allegation, no response thereto is necessary.
- 10. Inasmuch as this allegation within Plaintiff's Complaint constitutes a legal conclusion as opposed to a factual allegation, no response thereto is necessary.
- 11. Denied as pled. It is specifically denied that Answering Defendants were in any way negligent or engaged in any liability-producing conduct (including committing any violation of rights secured to the Plaintiff by the Constitution of the United States). It is further denied that any conduct on the part of Answering Defendants was the proximate cause of any injury or damages to the Plaintiff.
  - 12. Denied as pled.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendants hereby request that this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and award costs and fees in favor of Answering Defendants.

# **SEPARATE DEFENSES**

# FIRST SEPARATE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and Answering Defendants reserve the right to move for the dismissal of any and/or all of the allegations within Plaintiff's Complaint on this basis at any time prior to or during trial.

# **SECOND SEPARATE DEFENSE**

Defendants, Town of Lewes and Lewes Police Department, cannot be held liable for punitive damages as a matter of law and these Defendants reserve the right to move for the dismissal of these claims pursuant to Fed. R.C.P. 12 (b)(6) at any time prior to trial.

# THIRD SEPARATE DEFENSE

Answering Defendants breached no duty owed to Plaintiff.

# **FOURTH SEPARATE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's cause of action is barred by the applicable Statutes of Limitations.

# FIFTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has shown no valid civil rights violations and therefore has failed to meet the statutory requirements of 42 U.S.C.S 1981, 1983, 1985, 1986 or 1988. Plaintiff has also failed to demonstrate the validity of jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.S. 1927 nor under the Fourth or Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

# SIXTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

If Defendants injured the Plaintiff as alleged in the Complaint, the Plaintiff was guilty of contributory and/or comparative negligence in that she failed to heed the advice given by the Defendants. This negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributed to the alleged injury of the Plaintiff.

#### SEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

If the Plaintiff was injured in any way it was not as a result of actions or in actions of Answering Defendants, rather that injury was the result of the Plaintiff's known assumption of the risk.

# EIGHTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a Civil Rights Complaint, this Complaint is insufficient and therefore must be dismissed. The allegations of the Complaint are broad and conclusory and fail to state sufficient facts in support of its conclusions. In this Circuit, plaintiffs in Civil Rights actions are required to plead facts with specificity. *Negrich v. Horn*, 379 F.2d 213 (Third Circuit, 1967), see also *Rotolo v. Borough of Charleroi*, 522 F.2d 920 (Third Circuit, 1976).

# **NINTH SEPARATE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a cause of action upon which relief may be granted under F.R.C.P. 12(b)(6).

# TENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is liable to Defendants for sanctions under Rule 11 for bringing this frivolous claim. Answering Defendants herein demand damages in the form of costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1928. No one after reasonable inquiry could form a reasonable belief that the pleading is warranted by the existing law, nor is there any good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law. See, *Eavenson, Auchmuty and Greenwald v. Holtzman*, 775 F.2d 535 (Third Circuit, 1985). c.f. *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 92 Set. 594, 30 Led. 2nd 652 (1972).

# ELEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Individual defendant hereby asserts the defense of qualified immunity as articulated in *Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800 (1982) and subsequent decisions.

# TWEVETH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Individual defendant asserts he has not violated clearly established law and at all times concerned with this litigation acted in a manner which was proper, reasonable, lawful and in the

exercise of good faith and, as such, enjoy not only qualified immunity, but a right not to go to trial as articulated in Mitchell v. Forsyth, 105 S.Ct. 2806 (1985).

# THIRTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Individual defendant asserts, in the alternative, either that under the facts alleged or to be developed that he was acting individually and within the confines or boundaries of qualified immunity or, alternatively, that to the extent that he is named in his official capacity, those claims should be dismissed. Brandon v. Holt, 469 U.S. 464 (1985); Gregory v. Chechi, 843 F.2d 111 (1988).

# FOURTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages asserted against the individual defendant in his official capacity are barred by state and federal law. City of Newport v. Fact Concerts, Inc., 101 S.Ct. 2748 (1987).

# FIFTHTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Even if it is judicially determined that the individual defendant acted negligently and violated clearly established law, he remains immune from the imposition of damages pursuant to Daniels v. Williams, 106 S.Ct. 662 (1986) and Davidson v. Cannon, 106, S.Ct. 668 (1986).'

# SIXTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

It is averred that probable cause existed for the arrest of Plaintiff.

#### SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Plaintiff was not subjected to the use of excessive force.

### EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

It is averred that collateral estoppel and/or res judicata apply to bar litigation of issues formally adjudicated concerning Plaintiff's actions.

# NINETEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

It is averred that Plaintiff was prosecuted in good faith and without malice.

# TWENTYTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

Defendants herein assert all other defenses available to them under the Civil Rights Act of 1871.

# TWENTYFIRST SEPARATE DEFENSE

The Complaint as pleaded is in general language which fails to identify specific incidents and actions of Answering Defendants upon which a claim for relief may be grounded. (F.R.C.P. 12(b)6)).

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

Date: July 24, 2007

/s/ Daniel A. Griffith DE ID No. 4209

DANIEL A. GRIFFITH, ESQUIRE (No. 4209)
1220 North Market Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor
P.O. Box 8888
Wilmington, DE 19899-8888
(302) 552-4300
Email: dagriffith@mdwcg.com

\15\_A\LIAB\DAGRIFFITH\LLPG\440776\HXRUSSO\15000\15000

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CHRISTINA PAOLI,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION No. 07-419

v.

CITY OF LEWES, DELAWARE, LEWES POLICE DEPARTMENT, and BRUCE RITTER,

Defendants.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I, Daniel A. Griffith, Esquire hereby certify that on the date indicated below a true and correct copy of Defendants, City of Lewes, Lewes Police Department and Bruce Ritter's Answer with Separate Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint were forwarded to the below named addressee via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid and electronic filing:

Christina Paoli P.O. Box 170 600 Third Street Rehoboth Beach, DE 19971

MARSHALL, DENNEHEY, WARNER, COLEMAN & GOGGIN

/s/ Daniel A. Griffith, Esquire DE ID 4209
DANIEL A. GRIFFITH, ESQUIRE
Delaware Bar I.D. No. 4209
1220 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 8888
Wilmington, DE 19899-8888
(302) 552-4300
Attorney for Defendants

Dated: July 24, 2007

**∕**JS-44 (Rev 11/04)

# Case 1:07-cv-00419-GMS Document 5-3 Filed 07/24/2007 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

initiating the	civil docket sheet.	(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON T	HE REVERSE OF TH	IE FORM.	)								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS					DEFENDANTS								
Ch	Christina Paoli					City of Lewes, Delaware, Lewes Police Dpartment and Bruce Ritter							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Sussex Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  Sussex								
					(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)								
					NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE								
					LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)					Attorneys (If Known)								
					Daniel A. Griffith, Esquire, 1220 N. Market St., Wilmington, DE 19899								
II DACIC	OF HIDISDIC	ΓΙΟΝ (Place an "X" in One		шо	THE NOTE OF	DDING	NOD A Y	D. DOWN			<del></del> -	_	
					(For Diversity Cases Onl	Y <b>PKINC</b> y)	IPAL	PARTIES (Place An One Box	"X" in One Bo for Defendant	κ for P	laintiff and		
☐ 1. U.S. Government Plaintiff				0.		PTF	DEF		P'	ГF	DEF		
				Citizen of This State		□ 1	□ 1	Incorporated or Principa of Business in This State		4	□ 4		
☐ 2. U.S. Government  Defendant		☐ 4. Diversity  (Indicates Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Cit	izen of Another	<b>□</b> 2	□ 2	Incorporated and Princip	pal Place	5	<b>□</b> 5		
				State				of Business in Another S	State				
				Citizen of Subject of a		□ 3	<b>□</b> 3	Foreign Nation		16	□ 6		
IX MATERIA	DE OF CLIME -				eign Country								
IV. NATU	RE OF SUIT (PI STRACT	ace an "X" in One Box Only)	ORTS		FORFEITURE/PI	ENALTY	T.	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER	YT'A TI	TES		
□ 110 Insuran		PERSONAL INJURY				OL TERIOLETE							
☐ 120 Marine		PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Inju				z Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal		☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust				
☐ 130 Miller A		☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med Malpr	actice	☐ 625 Drug Related	Seizure	- "	28 USC 157	430 Banks		anking		
	able Instrument ery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Inj Product Li		of Property 21	USC 881			☐ 450 Comm				
& Enforc	ement of Judgment	Slander	☐ 368 Asbestos Per		640 R.R & Truck			OPERTY RIGHTS	<ul> <li>☐ 460 Depor</li> <li>☐ 470 Racke</li> </ul>		fluenced and		
☐ 151 Medica		☐ 330 Federal	Injury Prod	duct	☐ 650 Airline Regs		☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent		Сопи	pt Org	anizations		
	ery of Defaulted nt Loans	Employers' Liability	Liability PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health		□ 84	40 Trademark	480 Consu				
(Excl.	Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 370 Other Fraud		690 Other	,			☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service				
	ery of Overpayment eran's Benefits.	☐ 345 Marine Product	☐ 371 Truth in Ler				SO	CIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securit	ies/Cor			
☐ 160 Stockho		Liability  350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Person e Property Da		710 Fair Labor St	andards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)		Excha		allanca		
☐ 190 Other Contract		☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 385 Property Da	mage	Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.	Relations		52 Black Lung (923) 53 DIWC/DIWW		SC 341			
<ul> <li>☐ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>☐ 195 Franchise</li> </ul>		Product Liability  360 Other Personal			☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. 1	Reporting	(405(g))		□ 890 Other				
_ 175 TTalletti	130	Injury			& Disclosure Act  740 Railway Labor Act			64 SSID Title XVI	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act				
					790 Other Labor I			55 RSI (405(g)) DERAL TAX SUITS	□ 893 Enviro				
	PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	TIONS	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.			70 Taxes (U.S.		□ 894 Energy Allocation Act				
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure		441 Voting	510 Motions to	Vacate	Security Act		Plain		☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act				
230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment		☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing /	Sentence Habeas Corpus	:				or Defendant) 71 IRS – Third Party	□ 900 Appeal				
☐ 240 Torts to Land		Accommodations	☐ 530 General				-	26 USC 7609		Under Equal Access to Justice			
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property		<ul> <li>☐ 444 Welfare</li> <li>☐ 445 Amer.</li> </ul>	☐ 535 Death Penal ☐ 540 Mandamus &						☐ 950 Consti		lity of		
270 All Other Real Floperty		w/Disabilities—	☐ 540 Mandamus &							Statut			
		Employment	Employment										
		446 Amer. w/Disabilities—											
		Other			!								
V. ORIGIN	J (Place an "V"			_			<u> </u>					_	
V. Oktori ☑ 1 Origin	·	· · · _	Remanded from	□4 R	einstated or 5	Transi	erred fr	om 🔲 6 Multidis	strict		l to District ge from		
	eeding S	tate Court A	Appellate Court	R	eopened	anothe	r distric fy)	t Litigation		Ma	gistrate gment		
VI. CAUSE	E OF ACTION		u are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):										
		Brief description of cau Wrongful Arrest – 4 <sup>th</sup> A											
	JESTED IN PLAINT:	) DEI	EMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions) JUDGE					DOCKET NUMBER								
DATE	· -		SIGNA <b>T</b> UR	EOFAT	ORNEY OF RECORD	)	·					_	
	ly 24, 2007		1 Stell	1									
FOR OFFICE	USE ONLY	·	W-0									_	
RECEIPT	#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			JUDGE MAG. JUDGE								
			ırr										